

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONED FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/701,019		11/03/2003	William P. Delaney	LSI.82US01 (03-1233)	5855
24319	7590	02/28/2006		EXAMINER	
LSI LOC	IC CORE	PORATION	SCHNEIDER, JOSHUA D		
1621 BAF MS: D-10	RBER LAN 6	NE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MILPITA	S, CA 9:	5035	2182 DATE MAILED: 02/28/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)						
	Office Action Comments	10/701,01	9	DELANEY ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
			Schneider	2182						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati or Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	dress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 17 January 200	5.							
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	☐ This action is n	on-final.							
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is									
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	ion of Claims									
4)🖾	4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.									
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)[_]	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	ion Papers									
9)	The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.										
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).										
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:										
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 									
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
Attachmen	t(s)									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	140)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da							
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO			Patent Application (PTC	D-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:										

Application/Control Number: 10/701,019 Page 2

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 1/17/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has argued that the rejection does not teach the limitation of creating and transmitting an action header comprising an action code and zero or more component specific commands. First, it is noted that the limitation requires zero or more instances of component specific commands. The argument that the rejection is overcome due to a failure to teach this limitation would not hold because it would still meet the instance of having zero of these commands.
- 2. Second, the applicant seems to be arguing that the teachings of the reference are not of component specific commands. This may be due to a misreading of the claim language. Any command sent to only a single component or device is a component specific command. Thus anything addressed to the component is a component specific command. This is not the same as a *component type* specific command. Applicant also argues that there is not a teaching of component specific commands because the reference teaches both device type dependant and device type independent commands, and that this teaches away form the claimed invention because the claimed invention does not require such a teaching. There is no explanation as to how this teaches away form the invention. The reference does teach things that are not being claimed, but this simple assertion does not mean that the reference teaches away. Rather, the open comprising language leaves open the possibility that other things could be added and still be within the scope of the invention. This is precisely what the reference does, by teaching

Art Unit: 2182

things that the claims do not require, but do not restrict form being part of an implementation of the invention being claimed.

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8, 9, 11-13, 15, 16, and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication 2003/0217358 to Thurston et al.
- With regards to claims 1, 8, and 15, Thurston teaches packaging a communication sequence into a script by a method comprising (paragraph 29), providing said communication sequence that is a specific set of actions and action data (paragraphs 34-39); for each of said actions, creating an action header comprising an action code and zero or more component specific commands (paragraphs 40-43), and creating an action payload comprising zero or more of said action data; transmitting said script to said controller (paragraphs 34-39); and communicating to said component of said system by running said script by said controller by a method comprising: providing said script to said controller (paragraphs 35-39); and for each of said action headers, executing a command corresponding to said action code (paragraphs 35-39 and 19-52), transmitting said zero or more component specific commands to said component (paragraphs 35-39 and 19-52), and transmitting said zero or more of said action data from said action payload to said component (paragraphs 35-39 and 19-52).

Application/Control Number: 10/701,019

Art Unit: 2182

6. With regards to claims 2, 9, and 16, Thurston teaches said packaging of said

communication is performed by a first computer system that is separate from said system

Page 4

controlled by said controller (paragraphs 27-29).

7. With regards to claims 4, 11, and 18, Thurston teaches said method of packing said communication sequence further comprises: creating a header for said script (paragraphs 40-43),

To the second se

said header comprising an identifier describing the specific component for which said script is

intended (paragraphs 40-43); and said method of communicating to said component of said

system by running said script by said controller further comprises, determining a descriptor of

said component (paragraphs 40-43), comparing said descriptor of said component to said

identifier contained within said header of said script (paragraphs 40-43).

8. With regards to claims 5, 12, and 19, Thurston teaches said method of packing said

communication sequence further comprises: creating a header for said script (paragraphs 40-44),

said header comprising a compatibility list comprising one or more applicable revisions of

firmware on said specific component for which said script is applicable (paragraphs 44-47); and

said method of communicating to said component of said system by running said script by said

controller further comprises: determining a current firmware revision of said component;

comparing said current firmware revision to said compatibility list contained within said header

of said script (paragraphs 44-47).

9. With regards to claims 6, 13, and 20, Thurston teaches said component is a hard disk

drive (paragraph 27).

Application/Control Number: 10/701,019 Page 5

Art Unit: 2182

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 3, 10, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication 2003/0217358 to Thurston et al. in further view of U.S. Patent 6,789,157 to Lilja et al.
- 12. With regards to claims 3, 10, and 17, Thurston teaches said method of packing said communication sequence further comprises: creating a header for said script (paragraphs 40-43), said header comprising a checksum (paragraph 42); and said method of communicating to said component further comprises: reading said header of said script (paragraph 53), computing a computed checksum of said script (paragraph 53), comparing said computed checksum to said checksum contained within said header of said script (paragraph 53). Thurston fails to teach a CRC. Lilja teaches that using a firmware update with a CRC instead of a checksum. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to substitute the use of CRC of Lilja for the checksum of Thurston in order to more completely check whether the firmware has been corrupted during transmission.
- 13. Claims 7, 14, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication 2003/0217358 to Thurston et al. in further view of U.S. Patent Application Publication 2002/0166027 to Shirasawa et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/701,019

Art Unit: 2182

14. With regards to claims 7, 14, and 21, Thurston fails to teach the firmware update script package being used to update a RAID controller. Shirasawa teaches said controller is a RAID controller (paragraphs 8 and 9). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the firmware update script package of Thurston for updating RAID firmware as taught by Shirasawa in order to homogenize the ability of each of the hard disk units to increase process speed and decrease error occurrence.

Page 6

Conclusion

15. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua D. Schneider whose telephone number is (571) 272-4158. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8-4:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/701,019

Art Unit: 2182

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Huynh can be reached on (571) 272-4147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JDS

KIM HUYNH SUPERVISORY PATENT EVANGINES

2/17/06

Page 7